

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/738,243	LEUNG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carl Colin	2136	

**All Participants:**

(1) Carl Colin.

(2) Kambiz Zand.

**Status of Application:** Brief filed on 5/8/2006

(3) Cathrine Kinslow.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 July 2006

**Time:** 2:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8, 26, 33, 42, and 43.

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 KAMBIZ ZAND  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER

Carl Z. Colin 7/21/06  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner proposes that the independent claims should be amended to specifically recite that the dynamically selecting is within the data processing system "in a single architecture" as to further distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. Applicant's Representative agrees to amend the claims as described above by Examiner's amendment in a phone conversation that took place on July 21, 2006.